Stochastic Dynamic Graphon Games The Linear-Quadratic Case

Alexander Aurell¹

¹ORFE, Princeton University aaurell@princeton.edu

Introduction to Mean Field Games and Applications ISMI June 22, 2021

"Stochastic Graphon Games: II. The Linear-Quadratic Case" A., Carmona, Laurière, arXiv 2021.

- \rightarrow The graphon game: A limit model for a class of linear-quadratic stochastic games with non-identical players
- \rightarrow Convergence analysis

"Finite State Graphon Games with Applications to Epidemics" A., Carmona, Dayanıklı, Laurière, arXiv 2021.

Introductory example

N-player game with weak interaction (Carmona, Fouque, Sun '13)

$$
\min_{\alpha^k} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_t^k)^2 - q\alpha_t^k \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k)\right)^2 dt + g(X_T^k)\right]
$$

$$
dX_t^k = a\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k) + \alpha_t^k\right) dt + \sigma dW_t^k, \quad k = 1, ..., N
$$

N-player game with weak interaction (Carmona, Fouque, Sun '13)

$$
\min_{\alpha^k} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_t^k)^2 - q\alpha_t^k \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k)\right)^2 dt + g(X_T^k) \right]
$$

$$
dX_t^k = a\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k) + \alpha_t^k\right) dt + \sigma dW_t^k, \quad k = 1, ..., N
$$

The interaction term is the average log-monetary reserve difference:

$$
\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N(X_t^j-X_t^i).
$$

". . . representing the rate at which bank i borrows from or lends to bank j."

N-player game with weak interaction (Carmona, Fouque, Sun '13)

$$
\min_{\alpha^k} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_t^k)^2 - q\alpha_t^k \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k)\right)^2 dt + g(X_T^k) \right]
$$

$$
dX_t^k = a\left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N (X_t^j - X_t^k) + \alpha_t^k\right) dt + \sigma dW_t^k, \quad k = 1, ..., N
$$

The interaction term is the average log-monetary reserve difference:

$$
\frac{1}{N}\sum_{i=1}^N(X_t^j-X_t^i).
$$

". . . representing the rate at which bank i borrows from or lends to bank j."

What if there is a distinguishing feature, impacting the interaction, such as ...?

... geography. Neighbouring banks interact more than distant banks.

Introductory example: MFG for systemic risk

... geography. Neighbouring banks interact more than distant banks.

A very simple geography:

 \rightarrow each bank is geographically labeled, bank k by $x_k \in I := [0,1]$

 \rightarrow weights w : $1 \times 1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, symmetric

- x_k and x_i geographical close \Rightarrow $w(x_k, x_i)$ is large
- x_k and x_i distant \Rightarrow $w(x_k, x_i)$ is small

Introductory example: MFG for systemic risk

... geography. Neighbouring banks interact more than distant banks.

A very simple geography:

 \rightarrow each bank is geographically labeled, bank k by $x_k \in I := [0, 1]$

 \rightarrow weights w : $1 \times 1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, symmetric

- x_k and x_i geographical close \Rightarrow $w(x_k, x_i)$ is large
- x_k and x_i distant \Rightarrow $w(x_k, x_i)$ is small

Instead of $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N (X^j_t - X^k_t)$, player k now "feels" \bf{the} weighted aggregate

$$
Z_t^{k,N} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N w(x_k, x_j)(X_t^j - X_t^k)
$$

Introductory example: MFG for systemic risk

... **geography.** Neighbouring banks interact more than distant banks.

A very simple geography:

 \rightarrow each bank is geographically labeled, bank k by $x_k \in I := [0, 1]$

- \rightarrow weights w : $1 \times 1 \rightarrow [0, 1]$, symmetric
	- x_k and x_i geographical close \Rightarrow $w(x_k, x_i)$ is large
	- x_k and x_i distant \Rightarrow $w(x_k, x_i)$ is small

Instead of $\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^N (X^j_t - X^k_t)$, player k now "feels" \bf{the} weighted aggregate

$$
Z_t^{k,N} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N w(x_k, x_j)(X_t^j - X_t^k)
$$

N-player game for systemic risk with non-identical players

$$
\min_{\alpha^k} \mathbb{E} \left[\int_0^T \frac{1}{2} (\alpha_t^k)^2 - q \alpha_t^k Z_t^{k,N} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \left(Z_t^{k,N} \right)^2 dt + g(X_T^k) \right]
$$

$$
dX_t^k = a \left(Z_t^{k,N} + \alpha_t^k \right) dt + \sigma dW_t^k
$$

Limit model with infinitesimal agents

Drawing inspiration from economic theory:

- \rightarrow If the agent (index) space is an atomless probability space $(I, \mathcal{I}, \lambda)$ then each individual agent has no influence.
- \rightarrow Aggregates are averages over the **agent space** (cf. expectations)
- \rightarrow Agents are exposed to **idiosyncratic shocks** (independent noise)

Limit model with infinitesimal agents

Drawing inspiration from economic theory:

- \rightarrow If the agent (index) space is an atomless probability space $(I, \mathcal{I}, \lambda)$ then each individual agent has no influence.
- \rightarrow Aggregates are averages over the **agent space** (cf. expectations)
- \rightarrow Agents are exposed to **idiosyncratic shocks** (independent noise)

A candidate continuum limit model

$$
\min_{\alpha^x} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_t^x)^2 - q\alpha_t^x Z_t^x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (Z_t^x)^2 dt + g(X_T^x)\right]
$$

$$
dX_t^x = a (Z_t^x + \alpha_t^x) dt + \sigma dW_t^x, \quad t \in [0, T], \ x \in I
$$

$$
Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y) (X_t^y - X_t^x) dy, \quad t \in [0, T], \ x \in I
$$

Limit model with infinitesimal agents

Drawing inspiration from economic theory:

- \rightarrow If the agent (index) space is an atomless probability space $(I, \mathcal{I}, \lambda)$ then each individual agent has no influence.
- \rightarrow Aggregates are averages over the **agent space** (cf. expectations)
- \rightarrow Agents are exposed to **idiosyncratic shocks** (independent noise)

A candidate continuum limit model

$$
\min_{\alpha^x} \mathbb{E}\left[\int_0^T \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_t^x)^2 - q\alpha_t^x Z_t^x + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (Z_t^x)^2 dt + g(X_T^x)\right]
$$

$$
dX_t^x = a(Z_t^x + \alpha_t^x) dt + \sigma dW_t^x, \quad t \in [0, T], \ x \in I
$$

$$
Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y)(X_t^y - X_t^x) dy, \quad t \in [0, T], \ x \in I
$$

(Q1) Is the (linear-quadratic) limit model well defined? (Q2) Can we compute and approximate Nash equilibria? The candidate limit model is building on the Brownian motion vector $(B^{\times})_{x \in I}$.

Measurability problems when λ is the Lebesgue measure

- \rightarrow For an iid Brownian motion $(B^\times)_{\times \in I}$ based on the usual continuum product via Kolmogorov construction: almost all sample functions $x \mapsto B^x(\omega)$ are essentially equal to an *arbitrarily* given function $x \mapsto \beta^x$ on [0, 1].
- \rightarrow A process like that is not measurable in the index problem defining aggregates!

Relating back to the motivating example:

 \rightarrow Interaction term is the aggregate $\int_I w(x, y) (X_t^y - X_t^x) dy$

 $\rightarrow y \mapsto X_t^y$ is a priori not dy-measurable!

"Stochastic Graphon Games: II. The Linear-Quadratic Case" A., Carmona, Lauriére, arXiv 2021.

- \rightarrow The graphon game: A limit model for linear-quadratic stochastic games with non-identical players
	- Measurability problems addressed with Fubini extension theory
	- (Linear) Graphon SDE
	- Nash equilibria for LQ Graphon games
- \rightarrow Convergence analysis

"Finite State Graphon Games with Applications to Epidemics" A., Carmona, Dayanıklı, Lauriére, arXiv 2021.

- \rightarrow Finite state graphon games
	- Pure-jump Graphon SDE
	- Applications to epidemiology

The Linear Graphon SDE System

We will consider the following "linear SDE" system: given an admissible strategy profile $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ (open-loop; decentralized; progressive; square integrable)

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \left(a(x)X_t^x + b(x)\alpha_t^x + c(x)Z_t^x\right)dt + dB_t^x, & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I \\ Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y)X_t^y \lambda(dy), & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I, \\ X_0^x = \xi^x \end{cases}
$$

We will consider the following "linear SDE" system: given an admissible strategy profile $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ (open-loop; decentralized; progressive; square integrable)

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \left(a(x)X_t^x + b(x)\alpha_t^x + c(x)Z_t^x\right)dt + dB_t^x, & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I \\ Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y)X_t^y \lambda(dy), & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I, \\ X_0^x = \xi^x \end{cases}
$$

The graphon w

- \rightarrow is a symmetric measurable function from 1×1 to [0, 1]
- \rightarrow induces a Hilbert-Schmidt operator W : $L^2(I) \rightarrow L^2(I)$
- $\rightarrow \; W$ can be extended to $L^2_\lambda(I)$ (and beyond)

We will consider the following "linear SDE" system: given an admissible strategy profile $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ (open-loop; decentralized; progressive; square integrable)

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \left(a(x)X_t^x + b(x)\alpha_t^x + c(x)Z_t^x \right)dt + dB_t^x, & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I \\ Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y)X_t^y \lambda(dy), & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I, \\ X_0^x = \xi^x \end{cases}
$$

(Q1) Is the (linear-quadratic) limit model well defined?

Is there a suitable probability space over $\Omega \times I$ where we can

- \rightarrow define the idiosyncratic noise $(B^x)_{x \in I}$ so that
- \rightarrow the aggregates $(Z_t^{\times})_{\times\in I}$ are well-defined and
- \rightarrow in which we can solve the system [\(1\)](#page-16-0) is a strong sense?

Theory developed by (Sun '98, '06; Sun, Zhang '09) and others.

Theory developed by (Sun '98, '06; Sun, Zhang '09) and others.

Guarantees the existence of a probability space $(\Omega \times I, W, \mathbb{Q})$

```
\rightarrow extending (\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda)
```
Theory developed by (Sun '98, '06; Sun, Zhang '09) and others.

Guarantees the existence of a probability space $(\Omega \times I, W, \mathbb{Q})$

- \rightarrow extending $(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda)$
- \rightarrow where the agent space $(I, \mathcal{I}, \lambda)$
	- 1. is atomless
	- 2. extends the Lebesgue space $(I, \mathcal{B}(I), \text{Leb}(I))$

Theory developed by (Sun '98, '06; Sun, Zhang '09) and others.

Guarantees the existence of a probability space $(\Omega \times I, W, \mathbb{Q})$

- \rightarrow extending $(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda)$
- \rightarrow where the agent space $(I, \mathcal{I}, \lambda)$
	- 1. is atomless
	- 2. extends the Lebesgue space $(I, \mathcal{B}(I), \text{Leb}(I))$
- \rightarrow with the Fubini property

Theory developed by (Sun '98, '06; Sun, Zhang '09) and others.

Guarantees the existence of a probability space $(\Omega \times I, W, \mathbb{Q})$

- \rightarrow extending $(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \otimes \lambda)$
- \rightarrow where the agent space $(I, \mathcal{I}, \lambda)$
	- 1. is atomless
	- 2. extends the Lebesgue space $(I, \mathcal{B}(I), \text{Leb}(I))$
- \rightarrow with the Fubini property

→ carrying an essentially pairwise independent Brownian motion vector $(B^x)_{x \in I}$

for λ -a.e. $x \in I$ B^x is independent of B^y for λ -a.e. $y \in I$

To emphasize the Fubini property ($\mathbb Q$ disintegrates with marginals $\mathbb P$ and λ) we write

$$
(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda) := (\Omega \times I, \mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Q})
$$

We will denote L^2 -spaces over the Fubini extension by L^2_{\boxtimes} , expectation by \mathbb{E}^{\boxtimes} .

To emphasize the Fubini property ($\mathbb Q$ disintegrates with marginals $\mathbb P$ and λ) we write

$$
(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda) := (\Omega \times I, \mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Q})
$$

We will denote L^2 -spaces over the Fubini extension by L^2_{\boxtimes} , expectation by \mathbb{E}^{\boxtimes} .

Theorem (Exact Law of Large Numbers)

Let f be a process from $(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda)$ to the Polish space S. If $(f^x)_{x \in I}$ are e.p.i., then $\lambda \circ [f'(\omega)]^{-1} = (\mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda) \circ [f'(\cdot)]^{-1}$, $\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$

To emphasize the Fubini property ($\mathbb Q$ disintegrates with marginals $\mathbb P$ and λ) we write

$$
(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda) := (\Omega \times I, \mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Q})
$$

We will denote L^2 -spaces over the Fubini extension by L^2_{\boxtimes} , expectation by \mathbb{E}^{\boxtimes} .

Theorem (Exact Law of Large Numbers) Let f be a process from $(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda)$ to the Polish space S. If $(f^x)_{x \in I}$ are e.p.i., then $\lambda \circ [f'(\omega)]^{-1} = (\mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda) \circ [f'(\cdot)]^{-1}$, $\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$

Corollary: if f is furthermore $\mathbb{P}\boxtimes \lambda$ -integrable:

$$
\int_A f^{\times}(\omega) \lambda(dx) = \int_A \mathbb{E}[f^{\times}] \lambda(dx), \quad A \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}
$$

"Complete removal of individual uncertainty", "Insurable risk"

To emphasize the Fubini property ($\mathbb Q$ disintegrates with marginals $\mathbb P$ and λ) we write

$$
(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda) := (\Omega \times I, \mathcal{W}, \mathbb{Q})
$$

We will denote L^2 -spaces over the Fubini extension by L^2_{\boxtimes} , expectation by \mathbb{E}^{\boxtimes} .

Theorem (Exact Law of Large Numbers) Let f be a process from $(\Omega \times I, \mathcal{F} \boxtimes \mathcal{I}, \mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda)$ to the Polish space S. If $(f^x)_{x \in I}$ are e.p.i., then $\lambda \circ [f'(\omega)]^{-1} = (\mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda) \circ [f'(\cdot)]^{-1}$, $\mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}$

Corollary: if f is furthermore $\mathbb{P}\boxtimes \lambda$ -integrable:

$$
\int_A f^{\times}(\omega) \lambda(dx) = \int_A \mathbb{E}[f^{\times}] \lambda(dx), \quad A \in \mathcal{I}, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.}
$$

"Complete removal of individual uncertainty", "Insurable risk"

Example Since $(B_t^x)_{x \in I}$ are e.p.i. and integrable Z $\int_A B_t^{\times}(\omega)\lambda(d\mathsf{x}) = \int_A \mathbb{E}[B_t^{\times}]\lambda(d\mathsf{x}) = 0, \quad \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s.,} \quad A \in \mathcal{I}, \, \, t \in [0, \, \mathcal{T}].$

The Linear Graphon SDE System

With $(B^\times)_{\times\in I}$ and λ as introduced in the discussion above

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \left(a(x)X_t^x + b(x)\alpha_t^x + c(x)Z_t^x \right)dt + dB_t^x, & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I \\ Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y)X_t^y \lambda(dy), & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I, \\ X_0^x = \xi^x \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

The Linear Graphon SDE System

With $(B^\times)_{\times\in I}$ and λ as introduced in the discussion above

$$
\begin{cases} dX_t^x = \left(a(x)X_t^x + b(x)\alpha_t^x + c(x)Z_t^x \right)dt + dB_t^x, & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I \\ Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y)X_t^y \lambda(dy), & t \in [0, T], \ x \in I, \\ X_0^x = \xi^x \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

Theorem (A., Carmona, Laurière)

Let a, b, c : $I \to \mathbb{R}$ be *I*-measurable and bounded. For each admissible strategy profile α (open-loop, decentralized, progressive, square-integrable):

- \rightarrow There exists a unique solution X to [\(1\)](#page-16-0) (in L $_\boxtimes^2$ -sense)
- \rightarrow The aggregate Z is almost surely deterministic:

 $\mathbb{P} \boxtimes \lambda (\Vert Z - f \Vert_T = 0) = 1, \quad f(\omega, x) := \widetilde{f}(x), \ \widetilde{f} \in L^2_{\lambda}(I; \mathcal{C}) \quad (\omega, x) \in \Omega \times I.$

 \rightarrow There is a version of X that solves [\(1\)](#page-16-0) for all $x \in I$ (in L^2 -sense) and of Z that is deterministic for all $x \in I$.

Take-aways from the theorem:

- \rightarrow We can replace Z_t^x with the **deterministic aggregate** $\int_I w(x, y) \mathbb{E}[X_t^y] \lambda(dy)$
- \to or $\int_I w(x,y)\mathbb{E}[X^y_t]dy$ if $y\mapsto \mathbb{E}[X^y_t]$ is $\mathcal{B}(I)$ -measurable (depends on assumptions on ξ , a, b, c)

Take-aways from the theorem:

- \rightarrow We can replace Z_t^x with the **deterministic aggregate** $\int_I w(x, y) \mathbb{E}[X_t^y] \lambda(dy)$
- \to or $\int_I w(x,y)\mathbb{E}[X^y_t]dy$ if $y\mapsto \mathbb{E}[X^y_t]$ is $\mathcal{B}(I)$ -measurable (depends on assumptions on ξ , a, b, c)
- \rightarrow We can solve the Graphon SDE "x-**by**-x" (compared to an L^2_{\boxtimes} sense)

$$
J^{x}(\beta;\underline{\alpha}) := \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{0}^{T} f^{x}\big(X_{t}^{x},\beta_{t},Z_{t}^{x}\big)dt + h^{x}\big(X_{T}^{x},Z_{T}^{x}\big)\Big],
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{x} = \Big(a(x)X_{t}^{x} + b(x)\beta_{t} + c(x)Z_{t}^{x}\Big)dt + dB_{t}^{x}, X_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x},
$$

\n
$$
Z_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x,u)\mathbb{E}[X_{t}^{u}]\lambda(du), u \in I, t \in [0,T]
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{y} = \Big(a(y)X_{t}^{y} + b(y)\alpha_{t}^{y} + c(y)Z_{t}^{y}\Big)dt + dB_{t}^{y}, X_{0}^{y} = \xi^{y}, y \neq x,
$$

$$
J^{x}(\beta; \underline{\alpha}) := \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{0}^{T} f^{x}\big(X_{t}^{x}, \beta_{t}, Z_{t}^{x}\big)dt + h^{x}\big(X_{T}^{x}, Z_{T}^{x}\big)\Big],
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{x} = \big(a(x)X_{t}^{x} + b(x)\beta_{t} + c(x)Z_{t}^{x}\big)dt + dB_{t}^{x}, X_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x},
$$

\n
$$
Z_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x, u)\mathbb{E}[X_{t}^{u}]\lambda(du), u \in I, t \in [0, T]
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{y} = \big(a(y)X_{t}^{y} + b(y)\alpha_{t}^{y} + c(y)Z_{t}^{y}\big)dt + dB_{t}^{y}, X_{0}^{y} = \xi^{y}, y \neq x,
$$

 \rightarrow Strategy profile α appears only in the aggregate

$$
J^{x}(\beta;\underline{\alpha}) := \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{0}^{T} f^{x}\big(X_{t}^{x}, \beta_{t}, Z_{t}^{x}\big)dt + h^{x}\big(X_{T}^{x}, Z_{T}^{x}\big)\Big],
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{x} = \Big(a(x)X_{t}^{x} + b(x)\beta_{t} + c(x)Z_{t}^{x}\Big)dt + dB_{t}^{x}, X_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x},
$$

\n
$$
Z_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x, u)\mathbb{E}[X_{t}^{u}]\lambda(du), u \in I, t \in [0, T]
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{y} = \Big(a(y)X_{t}^{y} + b(y)\alpha_{t}^{y} + c(y)Z_{t}^{y}\Big)dt + dB_{t}^{y}, X_{0}^{y} = \xi^{y}, y \neq x,
$$

- \rightarrow Strategy profile α appears only in the aggregate
- \rightarrow Aggregate insensitive to changing one strategy (λ atomless)

$$
J^{x}(\beta;\underline{\alpha}) := \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_{0}^{T} f^{x}\big(X_{t}^{x}, \beta_{t}, Z_{t}^{x}\big)dt + h^{x}\big(X_{T}^{x}, Z_{T}^{x}\big)\Big],
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{x} = \Big(a(x)X_{t}^{x} + b(x)\beta_{t} + c(x)Z_{t}^{x}\Big)dt + dB_{t}^{x}, X_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x},
$$

\n
$$
Z_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x, u)\mathbb{E}[X_{t}^{u}]\lambda(du), u \in I, t \in [0, T]
$$

\n
$$
dX_{t}^{y} = \Big(a(y)X_{t}^{y} + b(y)\alpha_{t}^{y} + c(y)Z_{t}^{y}\Big)dt + dB_{t}^{y}, X_{0}^{y} = \xi^{y}, y \neq x,
$$

- \rightarrow Strategy profile α appears only in the aggregate
- \rightarrow Aggregate insensitive to changing one strategy (λ atomless)
- \rightarrow We write $J^{\times}(\beta;\underline{\alpha})$ as $\mathcal{J}^{\times}(\beta;Z^{\times})$

Definition (Nash equilibrium)

An admissible strategy profile $\hat{\alpha}$ is a graphon game Nash equilibrium if

$$
\mathcal{J}^{x}(\hat{\alpha}^{x}; Z^{\hat{\underline{\alpha}}; x}) \leq \mathcal{J}^{x}(\beta; Z^{\hat{\underline{\alpha}}; x}), \quad \beta \in \mathcal{A}(x), \ x \in I
$$

where $A(x)$ is the set of decentralized, open-loop, progressive, square-integrable processes.

Pontryagin Stochastic Maximum Principle

If $\hat{\alpha}$ is a graphon game Nash equilibrium then

$$
\hat{\alpha}_t^{\mathsf{x}} \in \underset{u \in \mathbb{R}}{\arg\inf} \; H^{\mathsf{x}}\big(t, \hat{X}_t^{\mathsf{x}}, u, \rho_t^{\mathsf{x}}\big), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, T], \; \mathbb{P}\text{-a.s},
$$

for each $x\in I$, with $(\hat{X}^{\times},p^{\times},q^{\times})$ solving the **Hamiltonian system**

$$
\begin{cases}\n d\hat{X}_{t}^{x} = \partial_{p} H^{x}(t, \hat{X}_{t}^{x}, \hat{\alpha}_{t}^{x}, p_{t}^{x})dt + dB_{t}^{x}, & \hat{X}_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x}, \\
 d p_{t}^{x} = -\partial_{x} H^{x}(t, \hat{X}_{t}^{x}, \hat{\alpha}_{t}^{x}, p_{t}^{x})dt + q_{t}^{x} dB_{t}^{x}, & p_{T}^{x} = \partial_{x} h^{x}(\hat{X}_{T}^{x}, \hat{Z}_{T}^{x}),\n\end{cases}
$$

where $H^*:[0, T]\times \mathbb{R}\times \mathbb{R}\times \mathbb{R}\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the **Hamiltonian** of player x,

$$
H^{x}(t, \chi, u, p) = f^{x}(\chi, u, \hat{Z}_{t}^{x}) + (a(x)\chi + b(x)u + c(x)\hat{Z}_{t}^{x})p,
$$

and \hat{Z}_{t}^{x} is the aggregate of $\hat{X}_{t} : \hat{Z}_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x, y)\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_{t}^{y}]\lambda(dy).$

Sufficient condition when: $(\chi, u) \mapsto (f^{\chi}(\chi, u, z), h^{\chi}(\chi, z))$ is jointly convex for $z \in \mathbb{R}$.

Linear-quadratic type assumptions:

- \rightarrow f, h quadratic functions
- \rightarrow a, b, c, f, h such that some Riccati equations are solvable

Then the Hamiltonian system (FBSDE) from the Pontryagin SMP

$$
\begin{cases}\n d\hat{X}_{t}^{x} = \partial_{p} H^{x}(t, \hat{X}_{t}^{x}, \hat{\alpha}_{t}^{x}, p_{t}^{x})dt + dB_{t}^{x}, & \hat{X}_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x}, \\
 dp_{t}^{x} = -\partial_{x} H^{x}(t, \hat{X}_{t}^{x}, \hat{\alpha}_{t}^{x}, p_{t}^{x})dt + q_{t}^{x}dB_{t}^{x}, & p_{T}^{x} = \partial_{x} h^{x}(\hat{X}_{T}^{x}, \hat{Z}_{T}^{x}), \\
 H^{x}(t, \chi, u, p) = f^{x}(\chi, u, \hat{Z}_{t}^{x}) + (a(x)\chi + b(x)u + c(x)\hat{Z}_{t}^{x})p, \\
 \hat{Z}_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x, y)\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_{t}^{y}]\lambda(dy)\n\end{cases}
$$

has a unique solution for arbitrary T and all $x \in I$ (in L^2 -sense). Proof idea:

- 1. Uniqueness in L^2_{\boxtimes} -sense by comparing two solutions
- 2. Existence in L^2_{\boxtimes} -sense for small $\,$ by contraction argument
- 3. Extend 2. to arbitrary T with the induction method for FBSDEs (Delarue '02)
- 4. Extract version solving the system for all $x \in I$

A Solvable Example

$$
\mathcal{J}^{x}(\alpha^{x}; Z^{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \Big[\int_{0}^{T=3} ((\alpha_{t}^{x})^{2} + (X_{t}^{x} - Z_{t}^{x})^{2}) dt + (X_{T}^{x} - Z_{T}^{x})^{2} \Big]
$$

$$
dX_{t}^{x} = (-X_{t}^{x} + \alpha_{t}^{x} + Z_{t}^{x}) dt + dB_{t}^{x}, \quad X_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x} \sim \text{Normal}(8, 1/4),
$$

$$
Z_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x, y) \mathbb{E}[X_{t}^{y}] \lambda(dy), \quad x \in I, \ t \in [0, T].
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}^{x}(\alpha^{x}; Z^{x}) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \Big[\int_{0}^{T=3} ((\alpha_{t}^{x})^{2} + (X_{t}^{x} - Z_{t}^{x})^{2}) dt + (X_{T}^{x} - Z_{T}^{x})^{2} \Big]
$$

$$
dX_{t}^{x} = (-X_{t}^{x} + \alpha_{t}^{x} + Z_{t}^{x}) dt + dB_{t}^{x}, \quad X_{0}^{x} = \xi^{x} \sim \text{Normal}(8, 1/4),
$$

$$
Z_{t}^{x} = \int_{I} w(x, y) \mathbb{E}[X_{t}^{y}] \lambda(dy), \quad x \in I, \ t \in [0, T].
$$

f, h convex \Rightarrow sufficient Pontryagin SMP, equilibrium characterized by the FBSDE

$$
d\hat{X}_t^x = \left(-\hat{X}_t^x - p_t^x + \hat{Z}_t^x\right)dt + dB_t^x, \qquad \hat{X}_0^x = \xi^x
$$

\n
$$
dp_t^x = \left(\hat{X}_t^x + p_t^x - \hat{Z}_t^x\right)dt + q_t^x dB_t^x, \qquad p_T^x = \hat{X}_T^x - \hat{Z}_T^x
$$

\n
$$
\hat{Z}_t^x = \int_I w(x, y)\mathbb{E}[\hat{X}_t^y]\lambda(dy), \quad x \in I, \ t \in [0, T]
$$

 \rightarrow Solve the FBSDE explicitly up to a system of ODEs (some of them Riccati) \rightarrow Size of ODE system determined by the rank of the graphon

To solve the FBSDE, make the ansatz $p_t^x = \eta_t^x + \zeta_t^x \hat{X}_t^x$ where

 $\rightarrow \eta^x$ and ζ^x are deterministic functions of time for all $x \in I$

To solve the FBSDE, make the ansatz $p_t^x = \eta_t^x + \zeta_t^x \hat{X}_t^x$ where

 $\rightarrow \eta^x$ and ζ^x are deterministic functions of time for all $x \in I$

From the ansatz: $q_t^x = \eta_t^x$

To solve the FBSDE, make the ansatz $p_t^x = \eta_t^x + \zeta_t^x \hat{X}_t^x$ where

 $\rightarrow \eta^x$ and ζ^x are deterministic functions of time for all $x \in I$

From the ansatz: $q_t^x = \eta_t^x$ and

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\eta_t^x}{dt} = (\eta_t^x)^2 + \eta_t^x + 1, & \eta_T^x = 1 \\
\frac{d\zeta_t^x}{dt} = (1 + \eta_t^x)\zeta_t^x - (1 + \eta_t^x)\hat{Z}_t^x, & \zeta_T^x = -\hat{Z}_T^x, \\
d\hat{X}_t^x = \left(-(1 + \eta_t^x)\hat{X}_t^x - \zeta_t^x + \hat{Z}_t^x\right)dt + dB_t^x, & \hat{X}_0^x = \xi^x\n\end{cases}
$$

To solve the FBSDE, make the ansatz $p_t^x = \eta_t^x + \zeta_t^x \hat{X}_t^x$ where

 $\rightarrow \eta^x$ and ζ^x are deterministic functions of time for all $x \in I$

From the ansatz: $q_t^x = \eta_t^x$ and

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\eta_t^x}{dt} = (\eta_t^x)^2 + \eta_t^x + 1, & \eta_T^x = 1 \\
\frac{d\zeta_t^x}{dt} = (1 + \eta_t^x)\zeta_t^x - (1 + \eta_t^x)\hat{Z}_t^x, & \zeta_T^x = -\hat{Z}_T^x, \\
d\hat{X}_t^x = \left(-(1 + \eta_t^x)\hat{X}_t^x - \zeta_t^x + \hat{Z}_t^x\right)dt + dB_t^x, & \hat{X}_0^x = \xi^x\n\end{cases}
$$

 $\rightarrow \eta^x$ independent of x (we drop the superscript)

To solve the FBSDE, make the ansatz $p_t^x = \eta_t^x + \zeta_t^x \hat{X}_t^x$ where

 $\rightarrow \eta^x$ and ζ^x are deterministic functions of time for all $x \in I$

From the ansatz: $q_t^x = \eta_t^x$ and

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\eta_t^x}{dt} = (\eta_t^x)^2 + \eta_t^x + 1, & \eta_T^x = 1 \\
\frac{d\zeta_t^x}{dt} = (1 + \eta_t^x)\zeta_t^x - (1 + \eta_t^x)\hat{Z}_t^x, & \zeta_T^x = -\hat{Z}_T^x, \\
d\hat{X}_t^x = \left(-(1 + \eta_t^x)\hat{X}_t^x - \zeta_t^x + \hat{Z}_t^x\right)dt + dB_t^x, & \hat{X}_0^x = \xi^x\n\end{cases}
$$

 $\rightarrow \eta^x$ independent of x (we drop the superscript)

 \rightarrow Given η , (ζ, \hat{Z}) forms a closed (infinite-dimensional) system

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d\zeta_t^x}{dt} = (1 + \eta_t)\zeta_t^x - (1 + \eta_t)\hat{Z}_t^x, & \zeta_T^x = -\hat{Z}_T^x, \\
\frac{d\hat{Z}_t^x}{dt} = -(1 + \eta_t)\hat{Z}_t^x - [W\zeta_t]^x + [W\hat{Z}_t]^x, & \hat{Z}_0^x = [W\xi]^x\n\end{cases}
$$

The graphon operator is Hilbert-Schmidt

- $\rightarrow [W\zeta_t]^x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(x) \langle \zeta, \phi_k \rangle_{\lambda_k}$
- $\rightarrow \ \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis in $L^2(I)$ of eigenfunctions of W
- $\rightarrow \ \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ are the corresponding eigenvalues

The graphon operator is Hilbert-Schmidt

$$
\rightarrow [W\zeta_t]^x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(x) \langle \zeta, \phi_k \rangle_{\lambda_1}
$$

\n
$$
\rightarrow \{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ is an orthonormal basis in } L^2(I) \text{ of eigenfunctions of } W
$$

\n
$$
\rightarrow \{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{ are the corresponding eigenvalues}
$$

Let
$$
v_t^k := \langle \zeta_t, \phi_k \rangle_{\lambda_I}, z_t^k := \langle \hat{Z}_t, \phi_k \rangle_{\lambda_I}, \text{ and } x^k := \langle \xi, \phi_k \rangle_{\lambda_I}.
$$
 Then
\n
$$
[W\hat{Z}_t](x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k z_t^k \phi_k(x), \qquad [W\zeta_t](x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k v_t^k \phi_k(x).
$$

where for $k = 1, 2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{cases}\n\frac{d v_t^k}{dt} = (1 + \eta_t) v_t^k - (1 + \eta_t) z_t^k, & v_T^k = -z_T^k, \\
\frac{d z_t^k}{dt} = (-1 - \eta_t + \lambda_k) z_t^k + -\lambda_k v_t^k, & z_0^k = \lambda_k x^k. \\
x^k = [W\xi^r]^x \stackrel{ELLN}{=} [W\mathbb{E}[\xi^r]]^x = 8\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

 \rightarrow Size of FBODE system [\(2\)](#page-47-0) is determined by the rank of W! \rightarrow FBODE system [\(2\)](#page-47-0) can be solved explicity with the ansatz $\mathsf{v}_t^k = \pi^k_t z_t^k$

Connection with N-player games

Two ways to construct finite graphs from graphons

- \rightarrow Sampling open/closed edges
- \rightarrow Weighing edges

We focus on connecting the latter approach to the graphon game.

Two ways to construct finite graphs from graphons

- \rightarrow Sampling open/closed edges
- \rightarrow Weighing edges

We focus on connecting the **latter approach** to the graphon game.

- \rightarrow 1 $^{\infty}$ denote the countable product of 1. A generic sequence $(\mathsf{x}_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in 1 $^{\infty}$ will be denoted by x^{∞} .
- $\rightarrow \mathcal{I}^\infty$ the countable product of \mathcal{I}
- $\rightarrow \; \lambda^\infty$ the countable product of λ

In the iteratively completed infinite product space $(I^{\infty}, \bar{\mathcal{I}}^{\infty}, \bar{\lambda}^{\infty})$ the processes $(B^{\times})_{x\in x^{\infty}}$ are mutually independent for $\bar{\lambda}^{\infty}$ -a.e. $x^{\infty}\in I^{\infty}$ (Hammond, Sun '21).

$$
\to \text{ Let } (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} = x^{\infty} \in I^{\infty} \text{ be given}
$$

 \rightarrow Consider the *N*-player game

$$
J^{k,N}(\alpha^{k,N};\alpha^{-k,N}) := \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T f^{x_k}(X_t^{k,N},\alpha_t^{k,N},Z_t^{k,N})dt + h^{x_k}(X_T^{k,N},Z_T^{k,N})\Big]
$$

$$
dX_t^{k,N} = (a(x_k)X_s^{k,N} + b(x_k)\alpha_t^{k,N} + c(x_k)Z_t^{k,N})dt + dB_t^{x_k}, X_0^{k,N} = \xi^{x_k},
$$

$$
Z_t^{k,N} := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\ell=1}^N w(x_k,x_\ell)X_t^{\ell,N}, \quad k = 1,\ldots,N, \ t \in [0,T].
$$

$$
\to \text{ Let } (x_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} = x^{\infty} \in I^{\infty} \text{ be given}
$$

 \rightarrow Consider the N-player game

$$
J^{k,N}(\alpha^{k,N};\alpha^{-k,N}) := \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T f^{x_k}(X_t^{k,N},\alpha_t^{k,N},Z_t^{k,N})dt + h^{x_k}(X_T^{k,N},Z_T^{k,N})\Big]
$$

$$
dX_t^{k,N} = (a(x_k)X_s^{k,N} + b(x_k)\alpha_t^{k,N} + c(x_k)Z_t^{k,N})dt + dB_t^{x_k}, X_0^{k,N} = \xi^{x_k},
$$

$$
Z_t^{k,N} := \frac{1}{N}\sum_{\ell=1}^N w(x_k,x_\ell)X_t^{\ell,N}, \quad k = 1,\ldots,N, \ t \in [0,T].
$$

Equilibrium conditions by Pontryagin SMP: a fully coupled FBSDE system for

$$
(\hat{X}^{k,N},p^{k\ell,N},q^{k\ell m,N})_{k,\ell,m=1}^N
$$

Propagation of Chaos

$$
\begin{array}{l} \Delta(x^\infty,N):=\qquad \qquad \\ \max_{1\le k\le N}\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big(|\hat{X}^{k,N}_t-\hat{X}^{x_k}_t|^2+\big|\rho^{kk,N}_t-\rho^{x_k}_t\big|^2\big)\Big]+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\Big[|\hat{Z}^{k,N}_t-\hat{Z}^{x_k}_t|^2\Big]\Big).\end{array}
$$

Theorem (A., Carmona, Laurière) For $\bar{\lambda}^{\infty}$ -a.e. $x^{\infty} \in I^{\infty}$: $\Delta(x^{\infty}, N)$ $\xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{ }0$

Propagation of Chaos

$$
\begin{array}{l} \Delta(x^{\infty},N):=\qquad \qquad \\ \max_{1\leq k\leq N}\Big(\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\big(|\hat{X}_{t}^{k,N}-\hat{X}_{t}^{x_{k}}|^{2}+\big|\rho^{kk,N}_t-p^{x_{k}}_t\big|^{2}\big)\Big]+\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\Big[|\hat{Z}_{t}^{k,N}-\hat{Z}_{t}^{x_{k}}|^{2}\Big]\Big).\end{array}
$$

Theorem (A., Carmona, Laurière) For $\bar{\lambda}^{\infty}$ -a.e. $x^{\infty} \in I^{\infty}$: $\Delta(x^{\infty}, N)$ $\xrightarrow[N \to +\infty]{ }0$

If furthermore $I \ni x \mapsto w(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ is 1/2-Hölder continuous, uniformly in $y \in I$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $N_{\varepsilon} : I^{\infty} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\bar{\lambda}^{\infty}\Big(\Delta(x^{\infty},N)\leq \frac{(C+\varepsilon)^2\log\log N}{N},\ N\geq N_{\varepsilon}(x^{\infty})\Big)=1,
$$

where C is a finite positive constant depending only on T and the graphon w.

 \rightarrow Similar result under other conditions, we can avoid the continuity assumption

Results on the connection with N-player games implied by the PoC result:

- \rightarrow The graphon game Nash equilibrium strategy collection $(\hat{\alpha}^{\mathsf{x}_k})_{k=1}^N$ forms an ε_N -Nash equilibrium for the N-player game between the players (x_1, \ldots, x_N) when $N\geq\underline{N}(\mathsf{x}^{\infty})$, $\bar{\lambda}^{\infty}$ -a.s. where $\varepsilon_N=O(N^{-1}\log\log N).$
- \rightarrow The N-player game Nash equilibrium converges componentwise to the graphon game Nash equilibrium; the rate of convergence is uniform and at most ε_N :

$$
\max_{1\leq k\leq N}\mathbb{E}\Big[\int_0^T|\hat{\alpha}_t^{k,N}-\hat{\alpha}_t^{x_k}|^2dt\Big]\leq \varepsilon_N^2,\quad N\geq \underline{N},\ \bar{\lambda}^{\infty}\text{-a.e. }x^{\infty}\in I^{\infty}.
$$

Finite State Stochastic Graphon Games

What changes?

What changes?

 \rightarrow Poisson random measures replaces Brownian Motion in the construction

What changes?

- \rightarrow Poisson random measures replaces Brownian Motion in the construction
- \rightarrow Graphon pure-jump SDE system describes the state trajectories

What changes?

- \rightarrow Poisson random measures replaces Brownian Motion in the construction
- \rightarrow Graphon pure-jump SDE system describes the state trajectories
- \rightarrow Aggregates are deterministic and continuous by ELLN (for a carefully chosen class of controls).

SIR transition rate matrices

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\cdots & \beta p_t(l) & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \gamma \\
0 & 0 & \cdots\n\end{bmatrix}\n\quad \text{vs.} \quad\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\cdots & \beta \int_l w(x, y) p_t^y(l) dy & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \gamma \\
0 & 0 & \cdots\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

Consider random processes with a finite state space $E = \{1, \ldots, M\}$.

What changes?

- \rightarrow Poisson random measures replaces Brownian Motion in the construction
- \rightarrow Pure-jump SDE describes state
- \rightarrow Aggregate is deterministic and continuous by ELLN (for a carefully chosen class of controls).

Controlled SIR transition rate matrices

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\cdots & \beta \alpha_t \int_A a \rho_t(l, da) & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \gamma \\
0 & 0 & \cdots\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
 vs.
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n\cdots & \beta \alpha_t^x \int_l w(x, y) \left(\int_A a \rho_t^y(l, da) \right) dy & 0 \\
0 & \cdots & \gamma \\
0 & 0 & \cdots\n\end{bmatrix}
$$

- \rightarrow Pure-jump SDE representation with Poisson random measures $(\mathsf{N}_{\cdot}^{\mathsf{x}})_{\mathsf{x} \in I}$
- \rightarrow Extended mean-field interaction to model epidemic disease spread

- \rightarrow Pure-jump SDE representation with Poisson random measures $(\mathsf{N}_{\cdot}^{\mathsf{x}})_{\mathsf{x} \in I}$
- \rightarrow Extended mean-field interaction to model epidemic disease spread

 \rightarrow The Graphon SDE system is well-defined (for a carefully chosen class of controls)

Theorem (A., Carmona, Dayanıklı, Laurière) Fix an admissible strategy profile α . If κ and K are bounded and Lipschitz, then there exists a unique solution X (in L^2_{\boxtimes} -sense), càdlàg and E-valued, to

$$
X_t^x = \xi^x + \sum_{k=-n+1}^{n-1} k \int_{\mathbb{R} \times (0,t]} 1_{[0,\kappa_s^x(X_{s-}^x, k, \alpha_s^x, Z_{s-}^x)]}(y) N_k^x(dy \otimes ds),
$$

$$
Z_t^x = \int_I w(x, y) K(\alpha_t^y, X_{t-}^y) \lambda(dy),
$$

the corresponding aggregate Z is $\mathbb{P}\boxtimes \lambda$ -a.s. deterministic and continuous, and there is a version solving the system for all $x \in I$ in standard L^2 -sense.

- \rightarrow Pure-jump SDE representation with Poisson random measures $(\mathsf{N}_{\cdot}^{\mathsf{x}})_{\mathsf{x} \in I}$
- \rightarrow Extended mean-field interaction to model epidemic disease spread

- \rightarrow The Graphon SDE system is well-defined (for a carefully chosen class of controls)
- \rightarrow There exists a solution to the analytic game (FBODE system)
- \rightarrow Pure-jump SDE representation with Poisson random measures $(\mathsf{N}_{\cdot}^{\mathsf{x}})_{\mathsf{x} \in I}$
- \rightarrow Extended mean-field interaction to model epidemic disease spread

- \rightarrow The Graphon SDE system is well-defined (for a carefully chosen class of controls)
- \rightarrow There exists a solution to the analytic game (FBODE system)

What is still to be done

- \rightarrow Probabilistic formulation of the game equilibrium
- \rightarrow Connection to N-player games

Thank you!